

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,265	08/27/2001	Paul A. Smethers	3399P061	4538
26529	7590 11/02/2004		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN/PDC			APPIAH, CHARLES NANA	
12400 WILS SEVENTH F	HIRE BOULEVARD LOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		2686	<u></u>	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/940,265	SMETHERS, PAUL A.
_xammor madea morrow odininary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles Appiah	2686
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Charles Appiah</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jordan M. Becker</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 October 2004	Time: <u>10.4 5A.M.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejections based on Macor (5,841,849)		
Claims discussed: 1-35		
Prior art documents discussed:  Macor		
Part II.		,
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
CHARLES APPIAH PRIMARY EXAMINER		
Chappel		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was informed that the request for reconsideration of the Final Office Action mailed on 23 July 2004 has been found persuasive. The finality of the Office Action is therefore withdrawn and a new Office Action would be issued in due course.